



ELSEVIER

Topology and its Applications 73 (1996) 295–298

**TOPOLOGY
AND ITS
APPLICATIONS**

κ -Lindelöf κ -stratifiable spaces are κ -metrizable

M. Jeanne Harris¹

Fairmont State College, Fairmont, WV 26554, USA

Received 19 February 1996; revised 20 May 1996

Abstract

A (locally) κ -Lindelöf κ -stratifiable space is shown to be κ -metrizable. Since the (local) κ -Lindelöf property is a weaker condition than compactness, the result generalizes Ceder's and Vaughan's theorems that compact stratifiable and compact linearly stratifiable spaces are metrizable.

Keywords: Stratifiable; Lindelöf; Linearly stratifiable; κ -Lindelöf; κ -metrizable

AMS classification: Primary 54E20, Secondary 54D20

A stratifiable space is a T_2 space with a function assigning to each closed set H a sequence of open sets $\{G_n(H) \mid n \in \omega\}$ such that (1) $H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n(H) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{G_n(H)}$ and (2) if $H \subseteq K$ with H and K closed, then $G_n(H) \subseteq G_n(K)$ for each n . Ceder [2] proved that a compact (actually, locally compact) stratifiable space is metrizable.

For an infinite cardinal κ , a T_2 space is stratifiable over κ [5] if there is a function which assigns to each closed set H a κ -sequence of open sets $\{G_\alpha(H) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ for which:

- (1 κ) $H = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha(H) = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{G_\alpha(H)}$,
- (2 κ) if $H \subseteq K$ with H and K closed, then $G_\alpha(H) \subseteq G_\alpha(K)$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$, and
- (3 κ) if $\alpha < \delta < \kappa$, then $G_\delta(H) \subseteq G_\alpha(H)$.

A space is κ -stratifiable if it is stratifiable over κ but is not stratifiable over any infinite cardinal less than κ .

For a κ -stratifiable space Vaughan [5] proved κ must be a regular cardinal and any subset of the space with fewer than κ elements is closed and discrete. Consequently, any property which requires countable sets to have limit points will force a κ -stratifiable space to be stratifiable. For example, a compact κ -stratifiable space is metrizable. Using a property weaker than compactness we can generalize Vaughan's result.

¹ E-mail: mjh@fscvax.wvnet.edu.

A space is κ -Lindelöf (also known as κ -compact or finally κ -compact) if every open cover has a subcover with cardinality less than κ . In a κ -Lindelöf space, any set with at least κ elements must have a limit point. In a locally κ -Lindelöf space every point has an open neighborhood N such that any subset of N with at least κ elements has a limit point in N . We will see that this property is sufficient to cause a κ -stratifiable space to be κ -metrizable. Recall that, for a regular infinite cardinal κ , a space is κ -metrizable if it has a decreasing uniform base of the form $\{U_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$. Many people have worked with κ -metrizable spaces proving generalizations of many of the standard metrization theorems. We will use Reichel's [4] generalization of Bing's theorem that a paracompact Moore space is metrizable and the following higher cardinal version of conditions given by Heath and Hodel [3].

Lemma. Suppose X is stratifiable over the regular cardinal κ .

- (1) If x belongs to $G_\alpha(\{x_\alpha\})$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$, then (x_α) converges to x .
- (2) If y_α belongs to $G_\alpha(\{x_\alpha\})$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$ and y is a (complete) accumulation point of $\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$, then y is a (complete) accumulation point of $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$.

Proof. Let X be stratifiable over regular cardinal κ and, for each closed set H , let $\{G_\alpha(H) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ be the κ -sequence of open sets assigned by the κ -stratification function.

To prove (1), let x belong to $G_\alpha(\{x_\alpha\})$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$, and suppose there were an open neighborhood U of x such that, for each α , some x_{γ_α} with γ_α greater than α does not belong to U . Then, since x is in $G_\delta(\{x_{\gamma_\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\})$ for each δ , the point x belongs to $\overline{\{x_{\gamma_\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}}$ which is contained in $X \setminus U$. That is a contradiction. To prove (2), suppose y_α belongs to $G_\alpha(\{x_\alpha\})$ for each $\alpha < \kappa$ and y is a (complete) accumulation point of $\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ but y is not a (complete) accumulation point of $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$. Some open neighborhood U of y contains no x_α different from y . For each α , the point y_α belongs to the subset $G_\alpha(\{x_\alpha\})$ of $G_\alpha(X \setminus U)$. Since the κ -stratification elements decrease, we have $\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha > \delta\}$ contained in $G_\delta(X \setminus U)$ for each $\delta < \kappa$. Then y belongs to $X \setminus U$. That is a contradiction.

Theorem 2 (Reichel). For $\kappa > \omega$, X is κ -metrizable if and only if

- (1) X is a κ -additive space (i.e., the intersection of fewer than κ open sets is open),
- (2) X is collectionwise normal, and
- (3) there is a κ -sequence $\{\mathcal{G}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open covers such that at each point x of X the collection $\{\text{St}(x, \mathcal{G}_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a local base.

Theorem. A locally κ -Lindelöf κ -stratifiable space is κ -metrizable.

Proof. Let X be a locally κ -Lindelöf κ -stratifiable space. Since a κ -stratifiable space is paracompact [5], then X is collectionwise normal.

Although the κ -stratification elements usually do not form a local base at x , they do in a κ -Lindelöf κ -stratifiable space. We will now show by contradiction that, in a locally κ -Lindelöf, κ -stratifiable space, the traces of the κ -stratification elements $\{G_\alpha(\{x\}) \mid \alpha <$

$\kappa\}$ on a neighborhood $L(x)$ witnessing the local κ -Lindelöf property form a local base at x . For proof, let $L(x)$ be an open neighborhood of x such that any subset of $L(x)$ of cardinality at least κ has a limit point in $L(x)$. Suppose there is an open neighborhood O of x such that, for each $\alpha < \kappa$, some element y_α belongs to $G_\alpha(\{x\}) \cap L(x)$ but not to O .

If $|\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}| < \kappa$, then some $y_{\alpha'}$ occurs κ many times and, by property (3 κ) above, $y_{\alpha'}$ belongs to $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha(\{x\})$. This is a contradiction since $y_{\alpha'}$ in $X \setminus O$ cannot be equal to x in O . Then $\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ has cardinality κ . Let $\{y_{\alpha_\delta} \mid \delta < \kappa\}$ be a κ -subsequence of distinct points of $\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$. Since all y_α 's are in $L(x)$, the set $\{y_{\alpha_\delta} \mid \delta < \kappa\}$ has a limit point p which belongs to $L(x)$ but not to O . For each δ we have y_{α_δ} in $G_{\alpha_\delta}(\{x\})$ so that $\{y_{\alpha_\delta} \mid \delta \geq \theta\}$ is contained in $\overline{G_{\alpha_\theta}(\{x\})}$ for any $\theta < \kappa$. Since $\{y_{\alpha_\delta} \mid \delta \leq \theta\}$ is closed and discrete, p must belong to $\overline{\{y_{\alpha_\delta} \mid \delta \geq \theta\}}$ and therefore to $\overline{G_{\alpha_\theta}(\{x\})}$ for any θ . By (3 κ) p belongs to $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} G_\alpha(\{x\})$ and thus must be x . That is a contradiction because x belongs to O while p does not. Thus, some $G_\alpha(\{x\}) \cap L(x)$ is contained in O .

To show that X is a κ -additive space: suppose $\{U_\rho \mid \rho < \theta\}$ is a collection of open sets with $\theta < \kappa$ and suppose x belongs to $\bigcap_{\rho < \theta} U_\rho$. For each ρ there is a α_ρ for which $G_{\alpha_\rho}(\{x\}) \cap L(x)$ contains x and is contained in U_ρ . For $\delta = \sup\{\alpha_\rho \mid \rho < \theta\}$ the set $G_\delta(\{x\}) \cap L(x)$ contains x and is contained in $\bigcap_{\rho < \theta} U_\rho$. Thus $\bigcap_{\rho < \theta} U_\rho$ is open.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{L(x) \mid x \in X\}$ where for each x the neighborhood $L(x)$ of x witnesses the local κ -Lindelöf property at x as above. Since X is paracompact, there is a locally finite open refinement \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{L} which covers X . For each α , let \mathcal{N}_α be the family $\{G_\alpha(\{x\}) \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{M}(x)) \mid x \in X\}$ where $\mathcal{M}(x) = \{M \in \mathcal{M} \mid x \in M\}$. We will prove by contradiction that for each x the collection $\{\text{St}(x, \mathcal{N}_\alpha) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a local base at x . Suppose O is an open neighborhood of x and for every α there is a y_α belonging to $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{N}_\alpha)$ but not to O . For some x_α , the set $G_\alpha(\{x_\alpha\}) \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{M}(x_\alpha))$ contains both x and y_α . By part (1) of the lemma, the κ -sequence (x_α) converges to x . Suppose γ is less than κ . Then x belongs to $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\}$ and, for each $\delta > \gamma$, the point y_δ belongs to $G_\delta(\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\})$. Since the κ -stratification elements decrease, the set $\{y_\mu \mid \mu \geq \delta\}$ is contained in $G_\delta(\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\})$. If $|\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}| < \kappa$, then some y_{α_0} occurs κ many times. For each δ the point y_{α_0} belongs to $\{y_\mu \mid \mu \geq \delta\}$ and thus to $G_\delta(\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\})$. The point y_{α_0} belongs to $\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\}$ for each $\gamma < \kappa$ and therefore is x . That is a contradiction since x belongs to O while y_{α_0} does not. Then $|\{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}| = \kappa$. Without loss of generality, assume the y_α 's are distinct. Since x belongs to each set in the point finite collection \mathcal{M} to which any of the x_α 's belong and since y_α also belongs to those sets in \mathcal{M} that contain x_α , then some set M from \mathcal{M} contains x and κ of the y_α 's. Let $M \cap \{y_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\} = \{y_{\alpha_\beta} \mid \beta < \kappa\}$. Since \mathcal{M} refines \mathcal{L} , there is a set $L(w)$ in \mathcal{L} which contains M . By our choice of $L(w)$, the set $\{y_{\alpha_\beta} \mid \beta < \kappa\}$ has a limit point p in $L(w)$. For each $\delta > \gamma$ the point p belongs to $\overline{\{y_{\alpha_\mu} \mid \mu \geq \delta\}}$ and thus to $\overline{G_\delta(\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\})}$. By (1 κ) p belongs to $\overline{\{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq \gamma\}}$ for each γ and therefore is x . Again, that is a contradiction since x belongs to O while p belongs to $X \setminus O$. Thus, for some α , $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{N}_\alpha)$ is contained in O . By Reichel's theorem X is κ -metrizable.

References

- [1] C.J.R. Borges, On stratifiable spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 17 (1966) 1–16.
- [2] J.G. Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961) 105–125.
- [3] R.W. Heath and R.E. Hodel, Characterizations of σ -spaces, *Fund. Math.* 77 (1973) 271–275.
- [4] H.C. Reichel, Some results on the metrizability-degree of a topological space and related cardinal functions, *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai* 23 (1980) 1019–1031.
- [5] J.E. Vaughan, Linearly stratifiable spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 43 (1972) 253–266.